arXiv:1508.01979v2 [gr-qc] 10 Dec 2015

Energy, momentum and angular momentum conservations in de Sitter special relativity

Jia-An Lu[a]*

[a] School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University
Guangzhou 510275, China

Abstract

In de Sitter (dS) special relativity (SR), two kinds of conserved currents are derived. The first kind is a 5-dimensional (5d) dS-covariant angular momentum (AM) current, which unites the energy-momentum (EM) and 4d AM current in an inertial-type coordinate system. The second kind is a dS-invariant AM current, which can be generalized to a conserved current for the coupling system of the matter field and gravitational field in dS gravity. Moreover, an inherent EM tensor is predicted, which comes from the spin part of the dS-covariant current. All the above results are compared to the ordinary SR with Lorentz invariance.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p, 03.50.Kk, 11.10.Ef
Key words: de Sitter special relativity, conservation law, energy-momentum tensor

1 Introduction

The de Sitter (dS) special relativity (SR) [1–9] is a hypothetical theory with global dS invariance, which is well motivated, at least for the following reasons. Firstly, the dS symmetry is one of the three highest symmetries for a 4-dimensional (4d) metric with Lorentz signature [3, 10]. Secondly, the observed cosmological constant may be related to that of dS SR, with new observable effects to be investigated. Thirdly, in dS space, there exist inertial-type coordinate (IC) systems where all the coordinate lines are geodesics [4, 5]; the energy, momentum and 4d angular momentum (EMA) of a classical particle can be defined in the IC systems, and unified in a 5d dS-covariant angular momentum (AM) function [4, 5]. Moreover, it is shown that [11, 12] in the $R + \beta S^{abc}S_{abc}$ models of gravity [13], where R is the scalar curvature, β is a parameter, and S_{abc} denotes the torsion tensor, the dS symmetry together with a Kaluza–Klein-type ansatz may play a key role in removing the initial singularity of the Robertson–Walker universe.

In this paper, we are going to find the definitions of the EMA currents for a dS-covariant matter field in dS SR, which should be important for the foundation of dS SR as well as the quantum field theory on dS space [1, 2, 8, 14–16]. To do this, we study the conservation law corresponding to the dS and diffeomorphism symmetries of the matter field. It is shown that, the conserved current is a 5d dS-covariant AM current, whose components can be identified as the EMA currents in an IC system. Although the

---

*Email: ljagdgz@163.com

The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-2001-6.

energy-momentum (EM) current is not covariant, the EM tensor can be well defined, and it is found that the spin part of the dS-covariant current contributes to the EM tensor, with the contribution proportional to $\Lambda^{1/2}$, where Λ is the cosmological constant.

Note that the generalization of the dS-covariant current in dS gravity [11, 17–20] is a variational derivative with respect to the dS connection [21]. For the coupling system of matter and gravity, the variational derivative should be equal to zero on account of the gravitational field equation. Accordingly, we also analyze another kind of conservation law in dS SR, with respect to a one-parameter group of entangled dS transformations, which consist of the internal dS rotations and external isometric transformations, sharing the same generators. The corresponding conserved current is a 5d dS-invariant AM current, which can be generalized to a conserved current for the coupling system of the matter field and gravitational field.

The paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, the EMA conservation laws are reviewed in the ordinary SR with Lorentz invariance. In section 3, the EMA conservation laws are derived in dS SR, and it is shown that the EM tensor contains an inherent part, in addition to the ordinary orbital part. Finally we end with some remarks in the last section.

2 Lorentz special relativity

In the ordinary SR on the Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_m$, consider a Lorentz-covariant matter field ψ with the action integral S and Lagrangian function $\mathscr{L}$ as follows:

$$S = \int_\Omega \mathscr{L}\epsilon, \quad \mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}(\psi, \partial_a\psi, c.c., e^\alpha{}_a), \tag{1}$$

where Ω is an arbitrary domain of $\mathcal{M}_m$, ϵ is a metric-compatible volume element, ∂_a is the ordinary derivative of any Minkowski coordinate system, a is an abstract index [22, 23] which can be changed into any tetrad or coordinate index by taking the corresponding component, *c.c.* denotes the complex conjugates of the former quantities, and $e^\alpha{}_a$ is the dual of an orthonormal tetrad field $e_\alpha{}^a$, with the tetrad index $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let

$$\Omega \to \Omega_t = \phi_t[\Omega], \quad \psi \to \psi_t = T(g_t)\phi_{t*}\psi, \quad e^\alpha{}_a \to e^\alpha_t{}_a = g^\alpha_t{}_\beta \phi_{t*} e^\beta{}_a \tag{2}$$

be symmetry transformations forming a one-parameter group, where t is the group parameter, $g_t = g^\alpha_t{}_\beta$ are elements of the special Lorentz group $SO(1,3)$, T stands for a representation of $O(1,3)$, ϕ_t are diffeomorphisms, and ϕ_{t*} denote their pushforwards. Suppose that the matter field equation $\delta S/\delta\psi = 0$ is satisfied, it follows from the invariance of the action integral under Eq. (2) that

$$\partial_a J^a + \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial e^\alpha{}_a}\delta e^\alpha{}_a = \mathscr{L}\partial_a v^a, \tag{3}$$

where

$$J^a = \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \partial_a \psi}\delta\psi + c.c. + \mathscr{L} v^a, \tag{4}$$

$\delta = (d/dt)|_{t=0}$, and v^a is the generator of ϕ_t. Making use of the arbitrariness of $A^\alpha{}_\beta \equiv \delta g^\alpha{}_\beta$, v^a and $\partial_a v^b$ at any given point, it follows that

$$\partial_a \Sigma_b{}^a = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_a \tau_{\alpha\beta}{}^a = -\Sigma_{[\alpha\beta]}, \tag{6}$$

$$-\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a \psi} d_b \psi + c.c. = \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial e^\alpha{}_a} e^\alpha{}_b, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\Sigma_b{}^a = -\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \partial_a \psi} \partial_b \psi + c.c. + \mathscr{L} \delta^a{}_b \tag{8}$$

is the canonical EM tensor,

$$\tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a} = \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial \partial_a \psi} T_\alpha{}^\beta \psi + c.c. \tag{9}$$

is the Lorentz-covariant spin current, $T_\alpha{}^\beta$ are representations of the Lorentz generators, and the tetrad indices are lowered by $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. According to Eqs. (5)–(6), $\partial_a J_\alpha{}^a = \partial_a J_\alpha{}^{\beta a} = 0$ (see, for instance, Ref. [24]), where

$$J_\alpha{}^a = \Sigma_\alpha{}^a, \tag{10}$$

$$J_\alpha{}^{\beta a} = \tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a} + \eta^{\beta\gamma} x_{[\gamma} \Sigma_{\alpha]}{}^a, \tag{11}$$

and x^β are the Minkowski coordinates corresponding to the tetrad field $e_\beta{}^a$. The above two equations present the Lorentz-covariant EM and AM currents in Lorentz SR.

On account of Eqs. (4), (8) and (9),

$$J^a = A^\alpha{}_\beta \tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a} + v^b \Sigma_b{}^a, \tag{12}$$

and hence

$$\partial_a J^a = \Sigma_\alpha{}^\beta (\partial_\beta v^\alpha - A^\alpha{}_\beta), \tag{13}$$

where $\partial_\beta = \partial/\partial x^\beta$. If the diffeomorphisms ϕ_t are isometric transformations entangled with the Lorentz rotations g_t, or explicitly,

$$v^a = A^\alpha{}_\beta x^\beta e_\alpha{}^a + B^\alpha e_\alpha{}^a, \tag{14}$$

where B^α are constants, then a conservation law $\partial_a J^a = 0$ follows, where J^a given by Eq. (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} J^a &= A^\alpha{}_\beta (\tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a} + x^\beta \Sigma_\alpha{}^a) + B^\alpha \Sigma_\alpha{}^a \\ &= A^\alpha{}_\beta J_\alpha{}^{\beta a} + B^\alpha J_\alpha{}^a, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which is the Lorentz-invariant conserved current with respect to the one-parameter group of symmetry transformations given by Eqs. (2) and (14).

Note that the generalizations of $J_\alpha{}^a$ and $J_\alpha{}^{\beta a}$ in Lorentz gravity [25, 26] are variational derivatives with respect to the tetrad field and Lorentz connection. For the coupling system of matter and gravity, these variational derivatives should be equal to zero due to the gravitational equations. On the other hand, the Lorentz-invariant J^a can be generalized to a nonzero current for the coupling system, which is conserved in the sense that its torsion-free divergence vanishes [21, 27, 28].

3 de Sitter special relativity

Consider a dS-covariant matter field ψ on a dS space, with the action integral and Lagrangian function as follows:

$$S = \int_\Omega \mathscr{L}\epsilon, \quad \mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}(\psi, d_a\psi, c.c., \xi^A, d_a\xi^A), \tag{16}$$

where d_a is the ordinary exterior derivative, ξ^A are the 5d Minkowski coordinates restricted to the 4d dS hypersurface $\mathcal{M}_l$ defined by $\eta_{AB}\xi^A\xi^B = l^2$, $\eta_{AB} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, \cdots 1)$, $A, B = 0, 1, \cdots 4$ are dS indices, and l is a constant with the dimension of length. Note that the dS metric $g_{ab} = \eta_{AB}(d_a\xi^A)(d_b\xi^B)$ enters the Lagrangian via $d_a\xi^A$. Suppose that

$$\Omega \to \Omega_t = \phi_t[\Omega], \quad \psi \to \psi_t = T(g_t)\phi_{t*}\psi, \quad \xi^A \to \xi^A_t = g_t^A{}_B\phi_{t*}\xi^B \tag{17}$$

are symmetry transformations forming a one-parameter group, where $g_t = g_t^A{}_B$ are elements of the special dS group $SO(1,4)$. Provided the matter field equation is satisfied, the invariance of the action integral under Eq. (17) leads to

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a J^a + \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial\xi^A}\delta\xi^A + \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\xi^A}\delta(d_a\xi^A) = \mathscr{L}\mathring{\nabla}_a v^a, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathring{\nabla}_a$ is the metric-compatible, torsion-free covariant derivative, and

$$J^a = \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\psi}\delta\psi + c.c. + \mathscr{L}v^a. \tag{19}$$

The arbitrariness of $A^A{}_B \equiv \delta g^A{}_B$, v^a and $\mathring{\nabla}_a v^b$ at any given point results in

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a \Sigma_b{}^a = \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial\xi^A} d_b\xi^A + \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\xi^A}\mathring{\nabla}_b\mathring{\nabla}_a\xi^A, \tag{20}$$

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a \tau_{AB}{}^a = -\left(\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial\xi^{[A}}\xi_{B]} + \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\xi^{[A}} d_a\xi_{B]}\right), \tag{21}$$

$$-\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\psi} d_b\psi + c.c. = \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\xi^A} d_b\xi^A, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\Sigma_b{}^a = -\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\psi} d_b\psi + c.c. + \mathscr{L}\delta^a{}_b \tag{23}$$

is the orbital EM tensor,

$$\tau_A{}^{Ba} = \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial d_a\psi} T_A{}^B\psi + c.c. \tag{24}$$

is the dS-covariant spin current, $T_A{}^B$ are representations of the dS generators, and the dS indices are lowered by η_{AB}. Let us define

$$\Sigma_A{}^{Ba} = \Sigma_b{}^a\eta_{AC}(\mathring{\nabla}^b\xi^{[C})\xi^{B]}, \tag{25}$$

$$V_A{}^{Ba} = \Sigma_A{}^{Ba} + \tau_A{}^{Ba}, \tag{26}$$

where the abstract index is raised by the inverse of g_{ab}. With the help of the identities

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a \xi^A \mathring{\nabla}^a \xi^B = \eta^{AB} - \xi^A \xi^B / l^2, \tag{27}$$

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a \mathring{\nabla}_b \xi^A = -g_{ab} \xi^A / l^2, \tag{28}$$

and Eqs. (20)–(21), it can be shown that

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a V_A{}^{Ba} = 0. \tag{29}$$

In order to compare the above equation with Eqs. (5)–(6), let us define

$$V_b{}^a = V_A{}^{Ba} (d_b \xi^A)(2\xi_B / l^2), \tag{30}$$

$$V_b{}^{ca} = V_A{}^{Ba} (d_b \xi^A)(d^c \xi_B), \tag{31}$$

and $\tau_b{}^a$, $\tau_b{}^{ca}$ in the same way, then it holds that

$$V_b{}^a = \Sigma_b{}^a + \tau_b{}^a, \quad V_b{}^{ca} = \tau_b{}^{ca}, \tag{32}$$

and Eq. (29) leads to

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a V_b{}^a = \mathring{R}^c{}_{dba} \tau_c{}^{da}, \tag{33}$$

$$\mathring{\nabla}_a \tau_{bc}{}^a = -V_{[bc]}, \tag{34}$$

where $\mathring{R}^c{}_{dba}$ is the curvature tensor of $\mathring{\nabla}_a$, and has the expression $\mathring{R}_{cdab} = 2 g_{a[c} g_{d]b} / l^2$ on $\mathcal{M}_l$. A comparison of Eqs. (33)–(34) and Eqs. (5)–(6) shows that $V_b{}^a$ is the canonical EM tensor in dS SR. In fact, the generalization of $V_\alpha{}^a$ in dS gravity is just the canonical EM current defined as the variational derivative with respect to $e^\alpha{}_a$ [21]. According to Eq. (32), the difference between $V_b{}^a$ and $\Sigma_b{}^a$ is the inherent EM tensor

$$\tau_b{}^a = \tau_A{}^{Ba} (d_b \xi^A)(2\xi_B / l^2) \sim l^{-1}, \tag{35}$$

which is originated from the dS spin $\tau_A{}^{Ba}$ and has the order of magnitude of $\Lambda^{1/2}$, where $\Lambda = 3/l^2$ is the cosmological constant. The existence of this inherent EM tensor is an important feature for dS SR. To obtain $\tau_b{}^a$ we need a dS-invariant Lagrangian on the dS space, but the construction of such a Lagrangian is nontrivial. Here we give an example for a Dirac field ψ with the Lagrangian constructed as follows:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} (\overline{\psi} \gamma^a d_a \psi - d_a \overline{\psi} \gamma^a \psi) - \mathrm{i} m \overline{\psi} \psi, \tag{36}$$

where i is the imaginary unit, $\overline{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$, $\gamma^a = \gamma^A d^a \xi_A$,

$$\gamma^0 = \mathrm{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \mathrm{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

$i = 1, 2, 3$, σ^i are Pauli matrices, and $\gamma^4 = \mathrm{i} \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$. It is noteworthy that the Lagrangian (36) is a function on the dS space, while the Lagrangian given by Ref. [2] is a function on the 5d Minkowski space. The Dirac-like equation with respect to Eq. (36) is

$$\gamma^a d_a \psi - m\psi - 2\gamma^A \xi_A \psi / l^2 = 0. \tag{38}$$

Substitution of Eq. (36) and $T^{AB} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^A, \gamma^B]$ into Eqs. (24) and (35) yields

$$\tau_b{}^a = \frac{1}{4l^2}\mathrm{i}\overline{\psi}\gamma^a[\gamma_b, \gamma^A\xi_A]\psi + c.c.. \tag{39}$$

Now we turn to the problem of how to define the EMA currents in the IC systems. Without loss of generality, let us discuss the domain on $\mathcal{M}_l$ with $\xi^4 > 0$. The inertial-type coordinates on this domain can be defined as follows [5]:

$$x^\mu = l\xi^\mu/\xi^4, \tag{40}$$

where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is the coordinate index. Making use of these definitions, $\Sigma_A{}^{Ba}$ defined by Eq. (25) can be expressed as

$$\Sigma_\mu{}^{4a} = (l/2)M_\mu{}^a, \quad \Sigma_\mu{}^{\nu a} = \eta^{\nu\sigma}x_{[\sigma}M_{\mu]}{}^a, \tag{41}$$

where

$$M_\mu{}^a = \Sigma_\nu{}^a(\delta^\nu{}_\mu + x^\nu x_\mu/l^2), \tag{42}$$

$x_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}x^\nu$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, and $\eta^{\nu\sigma}$ is its inverse. It is seen that $M_\mu{}^a$ can be identified as the orbital EM current in $\{x^\mu\}$, and $\Sigma_\mu{}^{\nu a}$ can be identified as the 4d orbital AM current in $\{x^\mu\}$. Then the meaning of $\Sigma_A{}^{Ba}$ becomes clear: it is a 5d orbital AM current uniting the orbital EMA currents in $\{x^\mu\}$. Furthermore, $V_A{}^{Ba}$ defined by Eq. (26) can be expressed as

$$V_\mu{}^{4a} = (l/2)(M_\mu{}^a + S_\mu{}^a), \quad V_\mu{}^{\nu a} = \eta^{\nu\sigma}x_{[\sigma}M_{\mu]}{}^a + \tau_\mu{}^{\nu a}, \tag{43}$$

where $S_\mu{}^a = \tau_\mu{}^{4a}(2/l)$, and, $\tau_\mu{}^{4a}$, $\tau_\mu{}^{\nu a}$ are components of $\tau_A{}^{Ba}$. It is seen that $M_\mu{}^a + S_\mu{}^a$ can be identified as the EM current in $\{x^\mu\}$, and $V_\mu{}^{\nu a}$ can be identified as the 4d AM current in $\{x^\mu\}$. Then the meaning of the conserved current $V_A{}^{Ba}$ becomes clear: it is a 5d AM current uniting the EMA currents in $\{x^\mu\}$. At the coordinate origin, $M_\mu{}^a$ coincides with the orbital EM tensor $\Sigma_b{}^a$, $S_\mu{}^a$ coincides with the inherent EM tensor $\tau_b{}^a$, and so $M_\mu{}^a + S_\mu{}^a$ coincides with the canonical EM tensor $V_b{}^a$. Likewise, $V_\mu{}^{\nu a}$ coincides with the spin tensor $\tau_b{}^{ca}$ at the coordinate origin.

On account of Eqs. (19), (23) and (24),

$$J^a = A^A{}_B\tau_A{}^{Ba} + v^b\Sigma_b{}^a. \tag{44}$$

Provided the diffeomorphisms ϕ_t are isometric transformations entangled with the dS rotations g_t, or explicitly,

$$v^a = (A^A{}_B\xi^B\partial_A)^a, \tag{45}$$

where ∂_A are the 5d Minkowski coordinate basis vector fields, then a conservation law $\mathring{\nabla}_a J^a = 0$ follows, where J^a given by Eq. (44) becomes

$$J^a = A^A{}_B V_A{}^{Ba}, \tag{46}$$

which is the dS-invariant conserved AM current with respect to the one-parameter group of symmetry transformations given by Eqs. (17) and (45).

Note that the generalization of $V_A{}^{Ba}$ in dS gravity is a variational derivative with respect to the dS connection [21]. For the coupling system of matter and gravity, the variational derivative should be equal to zero due to the gravitational field equation. On the other hand, J^a can be generalized to a nonzero current for the coupling system, which is conserved in the sense that its torsion-free divergence vanishes [21].

4 Remarks

The paper presents two kinds of conservation laws for a matter field in dS SR. The first kind is the conservation of the dS-covariant AM current (26), which unites the EMA currents of the matter field in an IC system. The second kind is the conservation of the dS-invariant AM current (46), which can be generalized to a conserved current for the coupling system of matter and gravity. Moreover, it is found that the canonical EM tensor contains an inherent part ${\tau_b}^a \sim \Lambda^{1/2}$ originated from the dS spin ${\tau_A}^{Ba}$. The existence of the inherent EM tensor ${\tau_b}^a$ is a distinctive phenomenon in dS SR compared to the ordinary Lorentz SR. It is interesting as a future work to analyze the observational effect of ${\tau_b}^a$.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the late Prof. H.-Y. Guo, and Profs. C.-G. Huang, Z.-B. Li and X.-W. Liu for their help and the useful discussions. The project is funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2015M572393.

References

[1] P. A. M. Dirac. The electron wave equation in de-Sitter space. Ann. Math. 36, 657–669 (1935)

[2] F. Gürsey and T. D. Lee. Spin 1/2 wave equation in de-Sitter space. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 49, 179–186 (1963)

[3] L. Fantappiè. Su una nuova teoria di relatività finale. Rend. Accad. Lincei 17, fasc. 5 (1954) (in Italian)

[4] E. Pessa. The de Sitter universe and general relativity. Collectanea Mathematica 24, 151–174 (1973)

[5] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu, and B. Zhou. On Beltrami model of de Sitter spacetime. Mod. Phys. Lett. A 19, 1701–1710 (2004)

[6] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu, and B. Zhou. On special relativity with cosmological constant. Phys. Lett. A 331, 1–7 (2004)

[7] M.-L. Yan, N.-C. Xiao, W. Huang, and S. Li. Hamiltonian formalism of de-Sitter invariant special relativity. Commun. Theor. Phys. 48, 27–36 (2007)

[8] B. Wang and Z. Xu. Classic and quantum motions of scalar particle in Beltrami–de Sitter spacetime. Commun. Theor. Phys. 49, 933–940 (2008)

[9] R. Aldrovandi and J. G. Pereira. de Sitter special relativity: effects on cosmology. Gravitation and Cosmology 15, 287–294 (2009)

[10] S. Weinberg. Gravitation and Cosmology. Wiley, New York, 1972

[11] J.-A. Lu. A Kaluza–Klein-like model of the gauge theory of gravity and its cosmological meaning. arXiv:1402.3650

[12] J.-A. Lu. Cosmological meaning of the gravitational gauge group. Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 46, 1780 (2014)

[13] S. Vignolo, L. Fabbri, and C. Stornaiolo. A square-torsion modification of Einstein–Cartan theory. Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 524, 826–839 (2012)

[14] J. Bros, J.-P. Gazeau, and U. Moschella. Quantum field theory in the de Sitter universe. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1746–1749 (1994)

[15] R. Banerjee. Gauge theories on de Sitter space and Killing vectors. Ann. Phys. 322, 2129–2144 (2007)

[16] J. Cortez, D. Martín-de Blas, G. A Mena Marugán, and J. M Velhinho. Massless scalar field in de Sitter spacetime: unitary quantum time evolution. Class. Quantum Grav. 30, 075015 (2013)

[17] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West. Spontaneously broken de Sitter symmetry and gravitational honolomy group. Phys. Rev. D 21, 1466–1488 (1980)

[18] H.-Y. Guo. On principle of inertia in closed universe. Phys. Lett. B 653, 88–94 (2007)

[19] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Y Tian, H.-T. Wu, Z. Xu and B. Zhou. Snyder's model-de Sitter special relativity duality and de Sitter gravity. Class. Quantum Grav. 24, 4009–4035 (2007)

[20] J.-A. Lu and C.-G. Huang. Kaluza–Klein-type models of de Sitter and Poincaré gauge theories of gravity. Class. Quantum Grav. 30, 145004 (2013)

[21] J.-A. Lu. de Sitter angular momentum conservation in de Sitter gravity and spin origin of dark energy. arXiv:1508.01981

[22] R. M. Wald. General Relativity. The university of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984

[23] C.-B. Liang and B. Zhou. Introduction to Differential Geometry and General Relativity. Science press, Beijing, 2006 (in Chinese)

[24] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder. An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory. Perseus Books Publishing, L.L.C., 1995

[25] T. W. B. Kibble. Lorentz invariance and the gravitational field. J. Math. Phys. 2, 212–221 (1961)

[26] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester. General relativity with spin and torsion: foundations and prospects. Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, 393–416 (1976)

[27] Y. N. Obukhov and G. F. Rubilar. Invariant conserved currents in gravity theories with local Lorentz and diffeomorphism symmetry. Phys. Rev. D 74, 064002 (2006)

[28] Y. N. Obukhov and G. F. Rubilar. Invariant conserved currents for gravity. Phys. Lett. B 660, 240–246 (2008)